



SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

Budget and Performance Panel

Tuesday, 23 February 2016

The following report was marked 'to follow'. It is now enclosed, as follows:

Agenda Item Number	Page	Title
5	1 - 27	TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY
		Report of the Chief Officer (Resources).

Budget and Performance Panel**Treasury Management Strategy 2016/17
23 February 2016****Report of Chief Officer (Resources)****PURPOSE OF REPORT**

To seek the Panel's views regarding the proposed treasury management framework for 2016/17.

This report is public

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. **That Budget and Performance Panel considers the attached Treasury Management framework documents for 2016/17 and makes recommendations as appropriate.**

1 Introduction

- 1.1 At its meeting on 16 February Cabinet will consider the attached report, including the treasury management framework proposals for 2016/17. In line with the (2011) CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice, Budget and Performance Panel have been explicitly named as responsible for scrutiny of the Treasury Management function, including review of the Annual Strategy.
- 1.2 Given the timing of Budget and Performance panel meetings, unfortunately it has not been possible to provide for scrutiny of the treasury proposals prior to them being considered by Cabinet. However, any recommendations arising from this Panel meeting will be fed into Budget Council on 02 March, when Members will be asked formally to approve the framework.

CONCLUSION OF IMPACT ASSESSMENT
(including Diversity, Human Rights, Community Safety, Sustainability and Rural Proofing)

As set out in the attached report.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

As set out in the attached report.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

As set out in the attached report.

S151 and MONITORING OFFICER'S COMMENTS

As set out in the attached report.

BACKGROUND PAPERS

None.

Contact Officer: Nadine Muschamp
Telephone: 01524 582122
E-mail: nmuschamp@lancaster.gov.uk
Ref:



**Treasury Management Strategy 2016/17
16 February 2016**

Report of Chief Officer (Resources)

PURPOSE OF REPORT				
This report sets out the 2016/17 treasury management framework for Cabinet's approval and referral on to Council.				
Key Decision	✓	Non-Key Decision		Referral
Date of notice of forthcoming Key Decision		18 January 2016.		
This report is public.				

OFFICER RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1) That Cabinet approve in principle the policy change to increase the period to 60 years over which the Minimum Revenue Provision is charged, for expenditure incurred prior to 2008.
- 2) That the Finance Portfolio Holder be given delegated authority to finalise the Treasury Management Framework, as updated for Cabinet's final budget proposals, for referral on to Council.

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Code of Practice on Treasury Management ("the Code") requires that a strategy outlining the expected Treasury activity for the forthcoming 3 years be adopted, but that it be reviewed at least annually. It needs to reflect treasury policy and cover various forecasts and activities, in order to integrate the Council's spending and income plans with decisions about investing and borrowing.
- 1.2 To give context, and for information, the Quarter 3 monitoring report for the current year is included as Appendix C to the Corporate Performance and Financial Monitoring report elsewhere on this agenda.

2 TREASURY MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK

- 2.1 The proposed Strategy for 2016/17 to 2019/20 is set out at **Appendix A** for Cabinet's consideration. The document contains the necessary details to comply with both the Code and Government investment guidance. Responsibilities for treasury management are set out at **Appendix B** and the policy statement is presented at **Appendix C**.

2.2 Key elements and assumptions feeding into the proposals are outlined below. These take account of Cabinet's existing budget proposals as far as possible at this stage, but there has been only limited time available to update the framework following the last Council meeting. Should there be any changes to the budget, then the treasury framework would need to be updated accordingly before being referred on to Budget Council. For these reasons, delegated arrangements are being sought for finalising the framework, prior to it being referred on to Budget Council.

2.3 **Borrowing Aspects of the Strategy**

2.3.1 Based on the draft budget, for now the physical borrowing position of the Council is projected to remain fairly constant over the next three years, allowing for scheduled repayments. It is also projected that the HRA capital programme will not require any additional borrowing.

2.3.2 There is one key policy change proposed in respect of the Minimum Revenue Provision, whereby the Capital Financing Requirement (the underlying need to borrow) in connection with capital expenditure incurred prior to 2008 is now proposed to be spread over 60 years instead of 20 years. This is in line with latest Government guidance, and allows the Council to spread the cost of that capital investment being charged to the revenue budget over a longer period of time. It reflects Savings Item number 30 of Cabinet's budget proposals, included elsewhere on the agenda.

2.4 **Investment Aspects of the Strategy**

2.4.1 Overall, the strategy put forward follows on from 2015/16 in that it is based on the Council having a comparatively low risk appetite with focus on high quality deposits. The 2016/17 strategy continues to use the same investment criteria as approved by Members in 2015/16, with the only change being to increase the money limits to provide greater flexibility whilst cash balances are high.

2.4.2 The proposed Investment Strategy continues to provide for investing with other local authorities given that these, in effect, are as secure as investing with the Government but they offer greater returns and from an Officer perspective, it makes sense to keep the benefits of such temporary cash investing/borrowing wholly within the local authority family.

3 **CONSULTATION**

3.1 Officers have liaised with Capita Asset Services, the Council's Treasury Advisors, in developing the proposed framework. The framework is being considered by Budget and Performance Panel at its meeting on 23 February.

4 **OPTIONS AND OPTIONS ANALYSIS**

4.1 Cabinet may put forward alternative proposals or amendments to the proposed Strategy in Appendix A, but these would have to be considered in light of legislative, professional and economic factors, and importantly, any alternative views regarding the Council's risk appetite. As such no further options analysis is available at this time.

4.2 Furthermore, the Strategy must fit with other aspects of Cabinet’s budget proposals, such as investment interest estimates and underlying prudential borrowing assumptions, feeding into Prudential and Treasury Management Indicators.

5 OFFICER PREFERRED OPTION AND JUSTIFICATION

5.1 To approve the framework as attached, allowing for any amendments being made under delegated authority prior to referral to Council. This is based on the Council continuing to have a low risk appetite regarding the security and liquidity of investments particularly, but recognising that more flexibility should help improve returns, whilst still effectively mitigating risk. It is stressed in terms of treasury activity, there is no risk free approach. It is felt though that the measures set out above provide a better, more flexible framework within which to work over the coming year.

RELATIONSHIP TO POLICY FRAMEWORK

The proposed Treasury Management framework forms part of the Council’s budget and policy framework, and fits into the Medium Term Financial Strategy.

CONCLUSION OF IMPACT ASSESSMENT

(including Diversity, Human Rights, Community Safety, Sustainability etc)

No direct implications arising.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The Strategy is in support of achieving the borrowing cost and investment interest estimates included in the budget.

SECTION 151 OFFICER’S COMMENTS

This report is in the name of the s151 Officer (as Chief Officer (Resources)). Her comments and advice are reflected in the report.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Legal Services have been consulted and have no further comments.

MONITORING OFFICER’S COMMENTS

The Monitoring Officer has been consulted and has no further comments.

BACKGROUND PAPERS

None.

Contact Officer: Nadine Muschamp
Telephone: 01524 582117
E-mail:nmuschamp@lancaster.gov.uk

Treasury Management Strategy 2016/17 to 2019/20

For Consideration by Cabinet 16 February 2016

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The Council is required to operate a balanced budget, which means broadly that income to be raised during the year will meet expenditure to be made, after allowing for any changes in reserves and balances. Part of the treasury management operation is to ensure that the associated cash flow is adequately planned, with cash being available when it is needed. Surplus monies are invested in low risk counterparties or instruments commensurate with the Council's low risk appetite, providing adequate liquidity initially before considering investment return.

The second main function of the treasury management service is the funding of the Council's capital plans. These capital plans provide a guide to the borrowing need of the Council, essentially the longer term cash flow planning to ensure that the Council can meet its capital spending obligations. This management of longer term cash may involve arranging long or short term loans, or using longer term cash flow surpluses. On occasion any debt previously drawn may be restructured to meet Council risk or cost objectives.

The Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) defines treasury management as:

"The management of the local authority's investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks."

1.2 Reporting Requirements

The Council is required to receive and approve, as a minimum, three main reports each year, which incorporate a variety of policies, estimates and actuals.

Prudential and treasury indicators and treasury strategy (this report) - The first, and most important report covers:

- the capital plans (including prudential indicators);
- a minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy (how residual capital expenditure is charged to revenue over time);
- the treasury management strategy (how the investments and borrowings are to be organised) including treasury indicators; and
- an investment strategy (the parameters on how investments are to be managed).

A mid-year treasury management report – This will update Members with the progress of the treasury position, amending prudential indicators as necessary, and whether any policies require revision.

An annual treasury report – This provides details of a selection of actual prudential and treasury indicators and actual treasury operations compared to the estimates within the strategy.

In addition, Members will receive high level update reports for Quarters 1 and 3.

The above reports are required to be adequately considered and scrutinised before being presented to Council. This is undertaken by Cabinet and the Budget and Performance Panel.

1.3 Treasury Management Strategy for 2016/17

The strategy for 2016/17 covers two main areas:

Capital Issues

- the capital plans and the prudential indicators;
- the minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy.

Treasury Management Issues

- the current treasury position;
- treasury indicators which limit the treasury risk and activities of the Council;
- prospects for interest rates;
- the borrowing strategy;
- policy on borrowing in advance of need;
- debt rescheduling;
- the investment strategy;
- creditworthiness policy; and
- policy on use of external service providers.

These elements cover the requirements of the Local Government Act 2003, the CIPFA Prudential Code, Government MRP Guidance, the CIPFA Treasury Management Code and Government Investment Guidance.

1.4 Training

The CIPFA Code requires the Chief Officer (Resources) to ensure that Members with responsibility for treasury management receive adequate associated training. This especially applies to Members responsible for scrutiny. A training session has been arranged for Members on 23 February 2016, at the Budget and Performance Panel meeting, and further training will be arranged as required. The training needs of treasury management Officers are periodically reviewed.

1.5 Treasury Management Consultants

The Council uses Capita Asset Services, Treasury solutions as its external treasury management advisors.

The Council recognises that responsibility for treasury management decisions remains with the organisation at all times and will ensure that undue reliance is not placed upon external service providers.

It also recognises that there is value in employing external providers of treasury management services in order to acquire access to specialist skills and resources. The Council will ensure that the terms of their appointment and the methods by which their value will be assessed are properly agreed and documented, and subjected to regular review.

2 CAPITAL PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS 2016/17 – 2019/20

The Council's capital expenditure plans are the key driver of treasury management activity. The plans are reflected in various prudential indicators, as determined under regulation, to assist Members in their overview of such capital expenditure planning.

2.1 Capital Expenditure

This prudential indicator is a summary of the Council's capital expenditure plans, both those agreed previously, and those forming part of this budget cycle.

The table below provides that summary, showing how the plans are being financed by capital or revenue resources. Any shortfall of resources results in an underlying borrowing or financing need.

Capital expenditure	2014/15 Actual £m	2015/16 Estimate £m	2016/17 Estimate £m	2017/18 Estimate £m	2018/19 Estimate £m	2019/20 Estimate £m
General Fund	5.72	7.69	16.79	9.86	6.55	3.74
Housing Revenue Account (HRA)	4.71	4.83	4.50	4.38	4.51	5.10
Total	10.43	12.52	21.29	14.24	11.06	8.84
Financed by:						
Capital receipts	2.28	0.82	0.52	0.52	0.15	0.15
Capital grants	2.02	1.97	6.42	3.59	3.59	2.55
Capital reserves	5.40	5.31	5.53	4.51	4.72	5.14
Revenue	0.43	0.10	0.34	0.05	0.00	0.00
Net financing need for the year	0.30	4.32	8.48	5.57	2.60	1.00

2.2 The Council's Borrowing Need (the Capital Financing Requirement)

The second prudential indicator is the Council's Capital Financing Requirement (CFR). The CFR is simply the total amount of capital expenditure (including that from prior years) that has not yet been paid for from either revenue or capital resources. It is essentially a measure of the Council's underlying need to borrow. Any capital expenditure above, which is not wholly financed in-year, will increase the CFR.

The CFR does not increase indefinitely. This is because the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP), which is a statutory annual charge to revenue, helps offset any annual increases.

The CFR includes any other long term liabilities (e.g. finance leases). Whilst these increase the CFR, and therefore the Council's borrowing requirement, these types of scheme include a borrowing facility and so the Council is not required to separately borrow for these schemes. The Council currently has £239K of leases within the CFR.

Council is asked to approve the following CFR projections:

	2014/15 Actual £m	2015/16 Estimate £m	2016/17 Estimate £m	2017/18 Estimate £m	2018/19 Estimate £m	2019/20 Estimate £m
Capital Financing Requirement						
General Fund	48.04	50.85	57.72	61.11	61.30	60.02
HRA	28.12	27.08	26.03	24.99	23.95	22.91
Total CFR	76.16	77.93	83.75	86.11	85.26	82.93
Movement in CFR	(2.32)	1.77	5.82	2.36	(0.85)	(2.32)

Movement in CFR represented by						
Net financing need for the year (above)	0.30	4.32	8.48	5.57	2.60	1.00
Less MRP/VRP and other financing movements	(2.62)	(2.55)	(2.66)	(3.21)	(3.45)	(3.35)
Movement in CFR	(2.32)	1.77	5.82	2.36	(0.85)	(2.32)

2.3 Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Policy Statement

The Council is required to 'pay off' an element of the accumulated General Fund CFR each year through a revenue charge (the minimum revenue provision - MRP), and it is also allowed to undertake additional voluntary payments if required (voluntary revenue provision - VRP).

Government Regulations require Council to approve an MRP Statement in advance of each year. A variety of options are provided to councils, so long as there is a prudent provision being made. In approving this Strategy, Council approves the following MRP Statement:

For capital expenditure incurred after 01 April 2008, MRP will be based on:

- Asset life method – MRP will be based on the estimated life of each asset created as a result of the related capital expenditure, in accordance with the Regulations (this option must also be applied for any expenditure capitalised under a Capitalisation Direction).

This option provides for a reduction in the borrowing need over the approximate life of the asset concerned.

In line with Government guidance, the MRP in respect of capital expenditure incurred before 01 April 2008, will be charged over a period of 60 years, which is an increase on the 25 year period previously applied.

There is no requirement on the HRA to make a minimum revenue provision but there is a requirement for a charge for depreciation to be made (although there are transitional arrangements in place).

Repayments included in annual finance leases are applied as MRP.

2.4 Core Funds and Expected Investment Balances

The application of resources (capital receipts, reserves etc.) to either finance capital expenditure or other budget decisions to support the revenue budget will have an ongoing impact on investments, unless resources are supplemented each year from new sources (e.g. asset sales). The following table provides estimates of the year end balances for each resource and anticipated day to day cash flow balances. These could vary hugely, however, for example as a result of any changes in the schedule of payments relating to Retained Business Rates.

Year End Resources	2014/15 Actual £m	2015/16 Estimate £m	2016/17 Estimate £m	2017/18 Estimate £m	2018/19 Estimate £m	2019/20 Estimate £m
Fund balances / reserves	23.03	22.84	21.61	21.72	21.82	21.92
Capital receipts	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Provisions	10.46	10.46	2.92	2.92	2.92	2.92
Total core funds	33.49	33.30	24.53	24.64	24.74	24.84
Working capital*	10.90	10.90	10.90	10.90	10.90	10.90
Under borrowing	(8.59)	(11.52)	(18.41)	(21.86)	(22.09)	(20.81)
Expected investments	35.80	32.68	17.02	13.68	13.55	14.93

*Working capital balances shown are estimated year end; these may be higher mid-year

2.5 Affordability Prudential Indicators

The previous sections cover the overall capital and control of borrowing prudential indicators, but within this framework prudential indicators are required to assess the affordability of the capital investment plans. These provide an indication of the impact of the capital investment plans on the Council's overall finances. The Council is asked to approve the following indicators:

2.6 Ratio of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream

This indicator identifies the trend in the cost of capital (borrowing and other long term obligation costs net of investment income) against the net revenue stream.

	2014/15 Actual	2015/16 Estimate	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate
General Fund	20%	15%	17%	22%	20%	19%
HRA	22%	22%	22%	22%	22%	22%

The estimates of financing costs include current commitments and the proposals in this budget report.

2.7 Incremental Impact of Capital Investment Decisions on Band D Council Tax

This indicator identifies the revenue costs associated with proposed changes to the five year capital programme recommended in the budget report compared to the Council's existing approved commitments and current plans. The assumptions are based on the budget, but will invariably include some estimates. Council tax rates are assumed to increase in line with the current MTFS projection of 1.99% per annum.

Council Tax	2014/15 Actual	2015/16 Estimate	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate
Band D Impact	£5.44	£(4.36)	£(10.40)	£4.27	£0.29	£(1.70)

2.8 Estimates of the Incremental Impact of Capital Investment Decisions on Housing Rent Levels

Similar to the council tax calculation, this indicator identifies the trend in the cost of proposed changes in the housing capital programme recommended in the budget compared to the Council's existing commitments and current plans, expressed as a discrete impact on weekly rent levels.

	2014/15 Actual	2015/16 Estimate	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate
Weekly housing rent levels	£1.05	£(0.86)	£(2.11)	£0.88	£0.06	£(0.36)

3 BORROWING

The capital expenditure plans set out in Section 2 provide details of the service activity of the Council. The treasury management function ensures that the Council's cash is organised in accordance with the the relevant professional codes, so that sufficient cash is available to meet this service activity. This will involve both the organisation of the cash flow and, where capital plans require, the organisation of appropriate borrowing facilities. The strategy covers the relevant treasury / prudential indicators, the current and projected debt positions and the annual investment strategy.

3.1 Current Portfolio Position

The Council's treasury portfolio position at 31 March 2015 and forward projections are summarised below. The table shows the actual external debt from treasury management operations, against the underlying capital borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement or CFR), highlighting any over or under borrowing.

	2014/15 Actual £m	2015/16 Estimate £m	2016/17 Estimate £m	2017/18 Estimate £m	2018/19 Estimate £m	2019/20 Estimate £m
External Debt						
Debt at 1 April	68.37	67.33	66.29	65.25	64.21	63.17
Expected change in Debt	(1.04)	(1.04)	(1.04)	(1.04)	(1.04)	(1.04)
Other long-term liabilities (OLTL)	0.43	0.24	0.11	0.09	0.04	0.00
Expected change in OLTL	(0.19)	(0.13)	(0.03)	(0.05)	(0.04)	0.00
Actual gross debt at 31 March	67.57	66.40	65.34	64.25	63.17	62.13
The Capital Financing Requirement	76.16	77.93	83.75	86.11	85.26	82.93
Under Borrowing	8.59	11.52	18.41	21.86	22.09	20.81

There are a number of key indicators to ensure that the Council operates its activities within well defined limits. One of these is that the Council needs to ensure that its gross debt does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of the CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for the current year and the following two financial years. This allows some flexibility for limited early borrowing for future years, but ensures that borrowing is not undertaken for revenue purposes.

The Chief Officer (Resources) reports that the Council complied with this prudential indicator so far and does not envisage difficulties for the future. This view takes into account current commitments, existing plans, and the proposals in the budget report.

3.2 Treasury Indicators: Limits to Borrowing Activity

The Operational Boundary

This is the limit beyond which external debt is not normally expected to exceed. In most cases, this would be a similar figure to the CFR, but may be lower or higher depending on the levels of actual debt.

Operational boundary	2014/15 Actual £m	2015/16 Estimate £m	2016/17 Estimate £m	2017/18 Estimate £m	2018/19 Estimate £m	2019/20 Estimate £m
Debt*	75.70	77.59	83.44	85.85	85.03	82.71
Other long term liabilities	0.24	0.11	0.09	0.04	0.00	0.00
Total	75.94	77.70	83.53	85.89	85.03	82.71

- *The term debt in this instance is CFR minus the effect of leases*

The Authorised Limit for External Debt

A further key prudential indicator represents a control on the maximum level of borrowing. This represents a limit beyond which external debt is prohibited, and this limit needs to be set or revised by the full Council. It reflects the level of external debt which, while not desired, could be afforded in the short term, but is not sustainable in the longer term.

1. This is the statutory limit determined under section 3 (1) of the Local Government Act 2003. The Government retains an option to control either the total of all councils' plans, or those of a specific council, although this power has not yet been exercised.
2. Council is asked to approve the following authorised limit:

Authorised Limit	2015/16 Estimate £m	2015/16 Estimate £m	2016/17 Estimate £m	2017/18 Estimate £m	2018/19 Estimate £m	2019/20 Estimate £m
Debt	91.00	93.00	99.00	101.00	100.00	98.00
Other long term liabilities	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total	92.00	94.00	100.00	102.00	101.00	99.00

3.3 Prospects for Interest Rates

The Council has appointed Capita Asset Services as its treasury advisor and part of their service is to assist the Council to formulate a view on interest rates. The following table gives their central view (*January 2016*).

	Mar-16	Mar-17	Mar-18	Mar-19
Bank rate	0.50%	0.75%	1.25%	1.75%
5yr PWLB rate	2.00%	2.40%	2.80%	3.20%
10yr PWLB rate	2.60%	3.00%	3.40%	3.70%
25yr PWLB rate	3.40%	3.70%	4.00%	4.10%
50yr PWLB rate	3.20%	3.50%	3.90%	4.00%

UK GDP growth rates in 2013 of 2.2% and 2.9% in 2014 were the strongest growth rates of any G7 country; the 2014 growth rate was also the strongest UK rate since 2006 and although the 2015 growth rate is likely to be a leading rate in the G7 again, it looks likely to disappoint previous forecasts and come in at about 2%. Quarter 1 of 2015 was weak at +0.4% though there was a slight increase in quarter 2 to +0.5% before weakening again to +0.4% in quarter 3. The November Bank of England Inflation Report included a forecast for growth to remain around 2.5 – 2.7% over the next three years, driven mainly by strong consumer demand as the squeeze on the disposable incomes of consumers has been reversed by a recovery in wage inflation at the same time that CPI inflation has fallen to, or near to, zero since February 2015. Investment expenditure is also expected to support growth. However, since the August Inflation report was issued, most worldwide economic statistics have been weak and financial markets have been particularly volatile. The November Inflation Report flagged up particular concerns for the potential impact of these factors on the UK.

The Inflation Report was also notably subdued in respect of the forecasts for inflation; this was expected to barely get back up to the 2% target within the 2-3 year time horizon. The increase in the forecast for inflation at the three year horizon was the biggest in a decade and at the two year horizon was the biggest since February 2013. However, the first round of falls in oil, gas and food prices over late 2014 and also in the first half 2015, will fall out of the 12 month calculation of CPI during late 2015 / early 2016 but a second, more recent round of falls in fuel and commodity prices will delay a significant pick up in inflation from around zero: this is now expected to get back to around 1% by the end of 2016 and not get to near 2% until the second half of 2017, though the forecasts in the Report itself were for an even slower rate of increase. However, more falls in the price of oil and imports from emerging countries in early 2016 will further delay the pick up in inflation. There is therefore considerable uncertainty around how quickly pay and CPI inflation will rise in the next few years and this makes it difficult to forecast when the MPC will decide to make a start on increasing Bank Rate.

The weakening of UK GDP growth during 2015 and the deterioration of prospects in the international scene, especially for emerging market countries, have consequently led to forecasts for when the first increase in Bank Rate would occur being pushed back to quarter 4 of 2016. There is downside risk to this forecast i.e. it could be pushed further back.

3.4 Borrowing Strategy

The Chief Officer (Resources), under delegated powers, will take the most appropriate form of borrowing depending on the prevailing interest rates at the time, if need be, taking

into account the risks shown in the forecast above. It is likely that shorter term fixed rates may provide lower cost opportunities in the short term.

Borrowing will only be taken on once a liability has been established although the timing of the borrowing may precede the point at which the liability actually falls due for payment. This would only be done to secure a preferential position for the Council, for example to benefit from lower interest rates.

With the likelihood of rates increasing at some point in the future, any debt restructuring is likely to focus on switching from longer term fixed rates to cheaper shorter term debt, although the Chief Officer (Resources) and treasury consultants will monitor prevailing rates for any opportunities during the year. The benefit of this will be balanced against the risks attached to the more frequent refinancing that would be required.

The option of postponing borrowing and running down investment balances will also continue to be considered. This has the added benefit of further reducing counterparty risk and could continue to help the revenue budget, with the cost of borrowing externally currently far outweighing the return on investments.

Treasury Management Limits on Activity

There are three debt related treasury activity limits. The purpose of these are to restrain the activity of the treasury function within certain limits, thereby managing risk and reducing the impact of any adverse movement in interest rates. However, if these are set at a level which is too restrictive they will impair the opportunities to reduce costs / improve performance. The indicators are:

- Upper limits on variable interest rate exposure. This identifies a maximum limit for variable interest rates based upon the debt position net of investments
- Upper limits on fixed interest rate exposure. This is similar to the previous indicator and covers a maximum limit on fixed interest rates;
- Maturity structure of borrowing. These gross limits are set to reduce the Council’s exposure to large fixed rate sums falling due for refinancing, and are required for upper and lower limits.

The Council is asked to approve the following treasury indicators and limits:

	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/2020
Interest rate exposures					
	Upper	Upper	Upper	Upper	Upper
Limits on fixed interest rates based on net debt	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Limits on variable interest rates based on net debt	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%

Maturity structure of fixed interest rate borrowing 2016/17	£m	
Under 12 months	1.04	1%
12 months and within 24 months	1.04	1%
24 months and within 5 years	3.12	5%
5 years and within 10 years	5.21	8%
10 years and within 15 years	5.21	8%
15 years and within 25 years	10.41	16%
25 years and within 50 years	40.26	61%

3.5 Policy on Borrowing in Advance of Need

The Council will not borrow more than or in advance of its needs purely in order to profit from the investment of the extra sums borrowed. Any decision to borrow in advance will be within forward approved Capital Financing Requirement estimates, allowing for authorised increases, and will be considered carefully to ensure that value for money can be demonstrated and that the Council can ensure the security of such funds.

3.6 Debt Rescheduling

As short term borrowing rates will be considerably cheaper than longer term fixed interest rates, there may be potential opportunities to generate savings by switching from long term debt to short term debt. However, these savings will need to be considered in the light of the current treasury position and the size of the cost of debt repayment (premiums incurred).

The reasons for any rescheduling to take place will include:

- * the generation of cash savings and / or discounted cash flow savings;
- * helping to fulfil the treasury strategy;
- * enhance the balance of the portfolio (amend the maturity profile and / or the balance of volatility).

Consideration will also be given to identify if there is any residual potential for making savings by running down investment balances to repay debt prematurely as short term rates on investments are likely to be lower than rates paid on current debt.

Any rescheduling will be reported to Cabinet at the earliest meeting following any action.

4 ANNUAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY

4.1 Introduction: Changes to Credit Rating Methodology

The main rating agencies (Fitch, Moody's and Standard & Poor's) have, through much of the financial crisis, provided some institutions with a ratings "uplift" due to implied levels of sovereign support. Commencing in 2015, in response to the evolving regulatory regime, all three agencies have begun removing these "uplifts" with the timing of the process determined by regulatory progress at the national level. The process has been part of a wider reassessment of methodologies by each of the rating agencies. In addition to the removal of implied support, new methodologies are now taking into account additional factors, such as regulatory capital levels. In some cases, these factors have "netted" each other off, to leave underlying ratings either unchanged or little changed. A consequence of these new methodologies is that they have also

lowered the importance of the (Fitch) Support and Viability ratings and have seen the (Moody's) Financial Strength rating withdrawn by the agency. It is important to stress that the rating agency changes do not reflect any changes in the underlying status of an institution or the credit environment, they are simply a change of method in response to regulatory changes.

In keeping with the agencies' new methodologies, the rating element of the Council's own credit assessment process now focuses solely on the Short and Long Term ratings of an institution. While this is the same process that has always been used for Standard & Poor's, this has been a change in the use of Fitch and Moody's ratings. It is important to stress that the other key elements to the process, namely the assessment of Rating Watch and Outlook information as well as the Credit Default Swap (CDS) overlay, have not been changed.

The evolving regulatory environment, in tandem with the rating agencies' new methodologies also means that sovereign ratings are now of lesser importance in the assessment process. Where throughout the crisis, councils typically assigned the highest sovereign rating to their criteria, the new regulatory environment is attempting to break the link between sovereign support and domestic financial institutions. Whilst the changes that have taken place are understood, it is still proposed to specify a minimum sovereign rating of AAA (outside UK). This is in relation to the fact that the underlying domestic and where appropriate, international, economic and wider political and social background will still have an influence on the ratings of a financial institution.

It is important to stress that these rating agency changes do not reflect any changes in the underlying status or credit quality of the institution. They are merely reflective of a reassessment of rating agency methodologies in light of enacted and future expected changes to the regulatory environment in which financial institutions operate. Whilst some banks have received lower credit ratings as a result of these changes, this does not mean that they are suddenly less credit worthy than they were formerly. Rather, in the majority of cases, this mainly reflects the fact that implied sovereign government support has effectively been withdrawn from banks. They are now expected to have sufficiently strong balance sheets to be able to withstand foreseeable adverse financial circumstances without government support. In fact, in many cases, the balance sheets of banks are now much more robust than they were before the 2008 financial crisis when they had higher ratings than now. However, this is not universally applicable, leaving some entities with modestly lower ratings than they had throughout much of the "support" phase of the financial crisis.

4.2 Investment Policy

The Council's investment policy has regard to the Government Guidance on Local Government Investments ("the Guidance") and the revised CIPFA Treasury Management in Public Services Code of Practice and Cross Sectoral Guidance Notes ("the CIPFA TM Code"). The Council's investment priorities will be security first, liquidity second, then return.

In accordance with the above guidance, and in order to minimise the risk to investments, the Council applies minimum acceptable credit criteria in order to generate a list of highly creditworthy counterparties that also enables diversification and thus avoidance of concentration risk.

Investment instruments identified for use in the financial year are listed in Appendix A2 under the 'specified' and 'non-specified' investments categories. Counterparty limits will be as set through the Council's treasury management practices, applying the creditworthiness policy set out below.

4.3 Creditworthiness Policy

This Council will apply the creditworthiness service provided by Capita Asset Services. This service employs a sophisticated modelling approach utilising credit ratings from the three main credit rating agencies - Fitch, Moody's and Standard and Poor's. The credit ratings of counterparties are supplemented with the following overlays:

- credit watches and credit outlooks from credit rating agencies;
- Credit Default Swap (CDS) spreads to give early warning of likely changes in credit ratings;
- sovereign ratings to select counterparties from only the most creditworthy countries.

This modelling approach combines credit ratings, credit watches and credit outlooks in a weighted scoring system which is then combined with an overlay of CDS spreads for which the end product is a series of colour coded bands which indicate the relative creditworthiness of counterparties. These colour codes are used by the Council to determine the suggested duration for investments. The Council will therefore use counterparties within the following durational bands:

(Enhanced Money Market Funds = EMMFs)

- **Yellow (Y)** up to but less than 1 year
- **Dark pink (Pi1)** liquid - for EMMFs with a credit score of 1.25
- **Light pink (Pi2)** liquid - for EMMFs with a credit score of 1.5
- **Purple (P)** up to but less than 1 year
- **Blue (B)** up to but less than 1 year (only applies to nationalised or part- nationalised UK Banks)
- **Orange (O)** up to but less than 1 year
- **Red (R)** 6 months
- **Green (G)** 100 days
- **No colour (N/C)** not to be used

Y	Pi1	Pi2	P	B	O	R	G	N/C
1	1.25	1.5	2	3	4	5	6	7

	Colour (and long term rating where applicable)	Money Limit	Time Limit
Banks /UK Govt. backed instruments*	yellow	£12m	≤1 year
Banks	purple	£6m	≤1 year
Banks	orange	£6m	≤1 year
Banks – part nationalised	blue	£12m	≤1 year
Banks	red	£6m	≤6 mths
Banks	green	£3m	≤100 days
Banks	No colour	Not to be used	
Limit 3 category – Council's banker (for non-specified investments)	n/a	£500K	1 day
DMADF	AAA	unlimited	≤6 months
Local authorities**	n/a	£12m	≤1 year
	Fund rating	Money and/or % Limit	Time Limit
Money market funds	AAA	£6m	liquid
Enhanced money market funds with a credit score of 1.25	Dark pink / AAA	£6m	liquid
Enhanced money market funds with a credit score of 1.5	Light pink / AAA	£6m	liquid

* the yellow colour category is for UK Government debt, or its equivalent, collateralised deposits where the collateral is UK Government debt –see Annex A2.

** Under UK Statute the loans to any Council have priority and first call over the revenues of the authority, which under-writes any concerns over the ability of a local authority to repay its debt. As the UK Government also acts as a lender of last resort, the ranking of UK local authorities is usually considered equivalent to that of the UK Government. As the UK Government has a long term rating of AA+, this is usually applied to local authorities and as such all local authorities have equal rating.

The creditworthiness service uses a wider array of information than just primary ratings and by using a risk weighted scoring system, does not give undue preponderance to just one agency's ratings.

Typically the minimum credit ratings criteria (built in) that the Council use will be a Short Term rating of F1 and a Long Term rating of A- (Fitch, or equivalents). There may be occasions when the counterparty ratings from one rating agency are marginally lower than these ratings but may still be used. In these instances consideration will be given

to the whole range of ratings available, or other topical market information, to support their use.

All credit ratings will be monitored daily. The Council is alerted to changes to ratings of all three agencies through its use of the creditworthiness service.

- If a downgrade results in the counterparty / investment scheme no longer meeting the Council's minimum criteria, its further use as a new investment will be withdrawn immediately.
- In addition to the use of credit ratings the Council will be advised of information in movements in credit default swap spreads against the iTraxx benchmark and other market data on a weekly basis. Extreme market movements may result in downgrade of an institution or removal from the Council's lending list.

Sole reliance will not be placed on the use of this external service. In addition, the Council will also use to some limited extent market data and market information, information on sovereign support for banks and the credit ratings of that supporting government.

4.4 Country Limits

The Council has determined that it will only use approved counterparties from other countries with a minimum sovereign credit rating of AAA (**Fitch**) or equivalent from each of the credit rating agencies. This list will be added to, or deducted from, by Officers should ratings change in accordance with this policy.

4.5 Other Investment Matters

In-house Funds: Investments will be made with reference to the core balance and cash flow requirements and the outlook for short-term interest rates (i.e. rates for investments up to 12 months).

Investment Returns Expectations: Bank Rate is forecast to remain unchanged at 0.5% before starting to rise from quarter 4 of 2015. Bank Rate forecasts for financial year ends (March) are:

- 2015/16 0.50%
- 2016/17 0.75%
- 2017/18 1.25%
- 2018/19 1.75%

There are downside risks to these forecasts (i.e. start of increases in Bank Rate occurs later) if economic growth weakens. However, should the pace of growth quicken, there could be an upside risk.

Forward deals will only be placed with Central Government departments or other Local Authorities.

4.6 Investment Treasury Indicator and Limit

This determines the total principal funds that can be invested for greater than 364 days. These limits are set with regard to the Council's liquidity requirements and to reduce

the need for early sale of any investment, and are based on the availability of funds after each year-end. Council is asked to approve the treasury indicator and limit: -

Maximum principal sums invested > 364 days					
	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20
Principal sums invested > 364 days	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

4.7 End of Year Investment Report

At the end of the financial year, the Council will report on its investment activity as part of its Annual Treasury Report.

Treasury Management Glossary of Terms

- **Annuity** – method of repaying a loan where the payment amount remains uniform throughout the life of the loan, therefore the split varies such that the proportion of the payment relating to the principal increases as the amount of interest decreases.
- **CIPFA** – the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy, is the professional body for accountants working in Local Government and other public sector organisations, also the standard setting organisation for Local Government Finance.
- **Call account** – instant access deposit account.
- **Counterparty** – an institution (e.g. a bank) with whom a borrowing or investment transaction is made.
- **Credit Rating** – is an opinion on the credit-worthiness of an institution, based on judgements about the future status of that institution. It is based on any information available regarding the institution: published results, Shareholders' reports, reports from trading partners, and also an analysis of the environment in which the institution operates (e.g. its home economy, and its market sector). The main rating agencies are Fitch, Standard and Poor's, and Moody's. They currently analyse credit worthiness under four headings (but see changes referred to in the strategy):
 - **Short Term Rating** – the perceived ability of the organisation to meet its obligations in the short term, this will be based on measures of liquidity.
 - **Long Term Rating** – the ability of the organisation to repay its debts in the long term, based on opinions regarding future stability, e.g. its exposure to 'risky' markets.
 - **Individual/Financial Strength Rating** – a measure of an institution's soundness on a stand-alone basis based on its structure, past performance and credit profile.
 - **Legal Support Rating** – a view of the likelihood, in the case of a financial institution failing, that its obligations would be met, in whole or part, by its shareholders, central bank, or national government.

The rating agencies constantly monitor information received regarding financial institutions, and will amend the credit ratings assigned as necessary.

- **DMADF and the DMO** – The DMADF is the 'Debt Management Account Deposit Facility'; this is highly secure fixed term deposit account with the Debt Management Office (DMO), part of Her Majesty's Treasury.
- **EIP** – Equal Instalments of Principal, a type of loan where each payment includes an equal amount in respect of loan principal, therefore the interest due with each payment reduces as the principal is eroded, and so the total amount reduces with each instalment.
- **Gilts** – the name given to bonds issued by the U K Government. Gilts are issued bearing interest at a specified rate, however they are then traded on the markets like

shares and their value rises or falls accordingly. The Yield on a gilt is the interest paid divided by the Market Value of that gilt.

E.g. a 30 year gilt is issued in 1994 at £1, bearing interest of 8%. In 1999 the market value of the gilt is £1.45. The yield on that gilt is calculated as $8\%/1.45 = 5.5\%$.

See also PWLB.

- **LIBID** – The London Inter-Bank Bid Rate, the rate which banks would have to bid to borrow funds from other banks for a given period. The official rate is published by the Bank of England at 11am each day based on trades up to that time.
- **LIBOR** – The London Inter-Bank Offer Rate, the rate at which banks with surplus funds are offering to lend them to other banks, again published at 11am each day.
- **Liquidity** – Relates to the amount of readily available or short term investment money which can be used for either day to day or unforeseen expenses. For example Call Accounts allow instant daily access to invested funds.
- **Maturity** – Type of loan where only payments of interest are made during the life of the loan, with the total amount of principal falling due at the end of the loan period.
- **Money Market Fund (MMF)** – Type of investment where the Council purchases a share of a cash fund that makes short term deposits with a broad range of high quality counterparties. These are highly regulated in terms of average length of deposit and counterparty quality, to ensure AAA rated status.
- **Policy and Strategy Documents** – documents required by the CIPFA Code of Practice on Treasury Management in Local Authorities. These set out the framework for treasury management operations during the year.
- **Public Works Loans Board (PWLB)** – a central government agency providing long and short term loans to Local Authorities. Rates are set daily at a margin over the Gilt yield (see Gilts above). Loans may be taken at fixed or variable rates and as Annuity, Maturity, or EIP loans (see separate definitions) over periods of up to fifty years. Financing is also available from the money markets, however because of its nature the PWLB is generally able to offer better terms.
- **Capita Asset Services** – Capita Asset Services are the City Council's Treasury Management advisors. They provide advice on borrowing strategy, investment strategy, and vetting of investment counterparties, in addition to ad hoc guidance throughout the year.
- **Yield** – see Gilts

Members may also wish to make reference to *The Councillor's Guide to Local Government Finance*.

ANNEX A2

A variety of investment instruments will be used, subject to the credit quality of the institution, and depending on the type of investment made it will fall into one of the above categories.

The criteria, time limits and monetary limits applying to institutions or investment vehicles are:

	Minimum credit criteria / colour band	Max % of counterparty limit - *Specified	Max % of counterparty limit – **Non - Specified	Max. maturity period
DMADF – UK Government	N/A	100%	N/A	6 months
UK Government gilts	UK sovereign rating	100%	10%	1 year
UK Government Treasury bills	UK sovereign rating	100%	10%	1 year
Bonds issued by multilateral development banks	AAA (or state your criteria if different)	10%	10%	6 months
Money market funds	AAA	100%	N/A	Liquid
Enhanced money market funds with a credit score of 1.25	AAA	100%	N/A	Liquid
Enhanced money market funds with a credit score of 1.5	AAA	100%	N/A	Liquid
Local authorities	N/A	100%	N/A	1 year
Term deposits with banks and building societies	Yellow	100%	10%	Up to 1 year
	Purple	100%	10%	Up to 1 year
	Blue	100%	10%	Up to 1 year
	Orange	100%	10%	Up to 1 year
	Red	100%	10%	Up to 6 Months
	Green	100%	10%	Up to 100 days
	No Colour	0%	0%	Not for use
CDs or corporate bonds with banks and building societies	Yellow	100%	10%	Up to 1 year
	Purple	100%	10%	Up to 1 year
	Blue	100%	10%	Up to 1 year
	Orange	100%	10%	Up to 1 year
	Red	100%	10%	Up to 6 Months
	Green	100%	10%	Up to 100 days
	No Colour	0%	0%	Not for use

***SPECIFIED INVESTMENTS:** All such investments will be sterling denominated, with maturities up to maximum of 1 year, meeting the quality criteria as applicable.

****NON-SPECIFIED INVESTMENTS:** These are any investments which do not meet the specified investment criteria. A maximum of 10% ** will be held in aggregate in non-specified investments.

Definitions of Specified and Non Specified Investments

See the detailed Investment Strategy included in **Appendix A**, for the limits to be applied.

1. Specified Investments are defined as follows.

SPECIFIED INVESTMENTS

These are to be sterling investments of a maturity period of not more than 364 days, or those which could be for a longer period but where the Council has the right to be repaid within 364 days if it wishes. These are low risk assets where the possibility of loss of principal or investment income is considered negligible. These include investments with:

- (i) The UK Government (such as the Debt Management Office, UK Treasury Bills or a Gilt with less than one year to maturity).
- (ii) Supranational bonds of less than one year's duration.
- (iii) A local authority, parish council or community council.
- (iv) An investment scheme that has been awarded a high credit rating by a credit rating agency.
- (v) A body with high credit quality (such as a bank or building society).

For category (iv) this covers a money market fund AAA rated by Standard and Poor's, Moody's or Fitch rating agencies.

2. Non-specified Investments are defined as follows:

Non-specified investments are any other type of investment (i.e. not defined as Specified above). The identification and rationale supporting the selection of these other investments are set out below. Non specified investments not explicitly referred to below are excluded.

Ref	Non Specified Investment Category	Limit
(i)	An investment with a non-UK bank, for a term of less than 1 year and in a product which falls within one of the criteria stated with the table in Annex A2	Annex A2
(ii)	The Council's own banker if it fails to meet the credit criteria attached to other bandings.	Included as per section 4.3

Background information on credit ratings

Credit ratings are an important part of the Authority’s investment strategy. The information below summarises some of the key features of credit ratings and why they are important.

What is a Credit Rating?

A credit rating is:

- An independent assessment of an organisation;
- It gauges the likelihood of getting money back on the terms it was invested;
- It is a statement of opinion, not statement of fact;
- They help to measure the risk associated with investing with a counterparty;

Who Provides / Uses Credit Ratings?

There are three main ratings agencies, all of which are used in the Authority’s treasury strategy.

- Fitch
- Moody’s Investor Services
- Standard & Poor’s

The ratings supplied by these agencies are used by a broad range of institutions to help with investment decisions, these include:

- Local Authorities;
- Other non-financial institutional investors;
- Financial institutions;
- Regulators;
- Central Banks;

Rating Criteria

There are many different types of rating supplied by the agencies. The key ones used by the Authority are ratings to indicate the likelihood of getting money back on terms invested. These can be split into two main categories:

- ‘Short Term’ ratings for time horizons of 12 months or less. These may be considered as the most important for local authorities.
- ‘Long Term’ ratings for time horizons of over 12 months. These may be considered as less important in the current climate.

In addition, the agencies issue sovereign, individual and support ratings which will also feed into the investment strategy.

Rating Scales (Fitch, Moody’s and Standard & Poor’s)

The table below shows how some of the higher graded short and long term ratings compare across the agencies; the top line represents the highest grade possible. (There are other ratings that go much lower than those shown below, and ratings for other elements).

Short Term			Long Term		
Fitch	Moody’s	S&P	Fitch	Moody’s	S&P
F1+	P-1	A-1+	AAA	Aaa	AAA
F1	P-1	A-1	AA	Aa2	AA
F2	P-2	A-2	A	A2	A

TREASURY MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK DOCUMENTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES

For Consideration by Cabinet 16 February 2016

DOCUMENT	RESPONSIBILITY
CODE of PRACTICE	To be adopted by Council (as updated 2011).
POLICY STATEMENT	The Code of Practice recommends a specific form of words to be used, to set out the Council's objectives within the Policy Statement for its Treasury Management activities. It is the responsibility of Council to approve this document, and then note it each year thereafter if unchanged. This reflects the revised code November 2011.
TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY	The Strategy document breaks down the Policy Statement into detailed activities and sets out the objectives and expected market forecasts for the coming year. This also contains all the elements of an Investment Strategy as set out in the Government guidance; it is the responsibility of Council to approve this document, following referral from Cabinet.
TREASURY MANAGEMENT INDICATORS	These are included within the Strategy Statement as part of the framework within which treasury activities will be undertaken. It is the responsibility of Council to approve these limits.
INVESTMENT STRATEGY	The Investment Strategy is included within the Treasury Management Strategy. It states which types of investments the Council may use for the prudent management of its treasury balances during the financial year. Under existing guidance the Secretary of State recommends that the Strategy should be approved by Council.
TREASURY MANAGEMENT PRACTICES	<p>These are documents that set out the procedures that are in place for the Treasury Management function within the Council. The main principles were approved by Cabinet following initial adoption of the Code of Practice; they include:</p> <p>TMP 1: Risk management TMP 2: Performance measurement. TMP 3: Decision-making and analysis. TMP 4: Approved instruments, methods & techniques. TMP 5: Organisation, clarity and segregation of responsibilities, and dealing arrangements. TMP 6: Reporting requirements & management information requirements. TMP 7: Budgeting, accounting & audit. TMP 8: Cash & cash flow management. TMP 9: Money laundering. TMP 10: Staff training & qualifications. TMP 11: Use of external service providers. TMP 12: Corporate governance.</p> <p>Any changes to the above principles will require Cabinet approval. It is the Chief Officer (Resources)' responsibility to maintain detailed working documents and to ensure their compliance with the main principles. Quarterly treasury management reports will continue to be reported through to Members.</p>

LANCASTER CITY COUNCIL
TREASURY MANAGEMENT POLICY STATEMENT

For consideration by Cabinet 16 February 2016

This reflects the revised CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice (Code updated in 2011).

1. This organisation defines its treasury management activities as:

"The management of the authority's investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks".

2. This organisation regards the successful identification, monitoring and control of risk to be the prime criteria by which the effectiveness of its treasury management activities will be measured. Accordingly, the analysis and reporting of treasury management activities will focus on their risk implications for the organisation and any financial instruments entered into to manage these risks.

3. This organisation acknowledges that effective treasury management will provide support towards the achievement of its business and service objectives. It is therefore committed to the principles of achieving value for money in treasury management, and to employing suitable comprehensive performance measurement techniques, within the context of effective risk management.